

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AT HARBOES BRYGGERI A/S

Statutory report in accordance with Section 107 b of the Danish Financial Statements Act (Års-regnskabsloven) The 2018/2019 financial year

Report for the 2018-2019 financial year

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:	
1. Communication and interaction by the company with its investors and other stakeholders					
1.1. Dialogue between company, shareholders and other stake	eholders				
1.1.1. The Committee recommends that the board of directors ensure ongoing dialogue between the company and its shareholders, so that the	The company complies				

1.1.1. The Committee recommends that the board of directors ensure ongoing dialogue between the company and its shareholders, so that the shareholders gain relevant insight into the company and in order for the board of directors to be aware of the shareholders' views, interests and opinions on the company.	The company complies		
1.1.2. The Committee recommends that the board of directors adopt policies on the company's relationship with its stakeholders, including shareholders, and that the board of directors ensure that the interests of the stakeholders are respected in accordance with company policies.	The company complies		
1.1.3. The Committee recommends that the company publish quarterly reports.		The company does not comply	Harboe only publishes interim and annual reports. Among other things, the Board of Directors believes that seasonal fluctuations, which often af- fect the group across the quarters,

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
				 may impact the quarterly reporting in such a way as to provide a misleading impression of the group's results of operations. However, Harboe places emphasis on keeping the market well informed of the group's development and results and will therefore communicate on significant events whenever relevant in between the formal interim and annual reporting.
1.2. General meeting				
1.2.1. The Committee recommends that in organising the company's general meeting, the board of directors plans the meeting to support active ownership.	The company complies			
1.2.2. The Committee recommends that proxies or votes cast by post for the general meeting allow shareholders to consider each individual item on the agenda.	The company complies			
1.3. Takeover bids	I	1	l	
1.3.1. The Committee recommends that the company set up contin- gency procedures in the event of takeover bids, from the time that the board of directors has reason to believe that a takeover bid will be made. The contingency procedures should establish that the board of directors	The company complies			

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
should not without the acceptance of the general meeting, attempt to counter the takeover bid by making decisions which, in reality, prevent the shareholders from deciding on the takeover bid themselves.				
2. Tasks and responsibilities of the board of directors				
2.1. Overall tasks and responsibilities				
2.1.1. The Committee recommends that at least once annually the board of directors consider the matters that should be included in the board's performance of its work.	The company complies			
2.1.2. The Committee recommends that at least once annually the board of directors consider the overall strategy of the company with a view to ensuring value creation in the company.	The company complies			
2.1.3. The Committee recommends that the board of directors ensure that the company has a capital and share structure which supports that the strategy and long-term value creation of the company are in the best interests of the shareholders and the company, and that the board of di- rectors explain this in the management commentary and/or on the com- pany's website.	The company complies			

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
2.1.4. The Committee recommends that the board of directors annually review and approve guidelines for the executive board; this includes establishing requirements for the executive board's reporting to the board of directors.	The company complies			
2.1.5. The Committee recommends that at least once annually, the board of directors discuss the composition, developments, risks and succession plans of the executive board.	The company complies			
2.2. Corporate social responsibility				
2.2.1. The Committee recommends that the board of directors adopt policies on corporate social responsibility.	The company complies			
2.3. Chairman and vice-chairman of the board of directors		1		
2.3.1. The Committee recommends appointing a vice-chairman of the board of directors, who will assume the responsibilities of the chairman in the event of the chairman's absence, and who will also act as effective sparring partner for the chairman.			The company does not comply	In the opinion of the Board of Direc- tors, there is no need for a vice-chair- man at present, but the Board of Di- rectors considers the need at appro- priate intervals and in step with de- velopments in the company's strate- gic challenges.
2.3.2. The Committee recommends that if the board of directors, in exceptional cases, asks the chairman of the board of directors or other board members to perform special activities for the company, including briefly participating in the day-to-day management, a board resolution to that effect should be passed to ensure that the board of directors main-	The company complies			As will appear from the 2018-2019 annual report, the Board of Directors has requested that the Chairman of the Board of Directors assume a tem- porary role as financial advisor in connection with a structural upgrade

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
tains its independent, general management and control function. Resolu- tions on the chairman's or other board members' participation in day-to- day management and the expected duration hereof should be publicly an- nounced.				of the group's financial reporting sys- tems.
3. Composition and organisation of the board of director	S	·	·	
3.1. Composition				
3.1.1. The Committee recommends that the board of directors annually evaluate and in the management commentary account for	The company complies			
 the competencies that it must have to best perform its tasks, the composition of the board of directors, and the special competencies of each member. 				
3.1.2. The Committee recommends that the board of directors annually discuss the company's activities to ensure relevant diversity at management levels and prepare and adopt a policy on diversity. The policy should be published on the company's website.	The company complies			
3.1.3. The Committee recommends that the selection and nomination of candidates for the board of directors be carried out through a careful and transparent process approved by the board of directors. When assessing its composition and nominating new candidates, the board of directors should, in addition to the need for competencies and qualifications, take into consideration the need for integration of new talent and diversity.	The company complies			

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
3.1.4. The Committee recommends that the notice convening a general meeting where the agenda includes the election of members to the board of directors, include (in addition to the statutory requirements) a description of the qualifications of nominated candidates, including information about the candidates'	The company complies			
 other executive functions, including positions on executive boards, boards of directors and supervisory boards, including board com- mittees, in Danish and foreign enterprises, and demanding organisational tasks. 				
Furthermore, it should be indicated whether the candidates to the board of directors are considered independent.				
3.1.5. The Committee recommends that members of the company's ex- ecutive board not be members of the board of directors and that a resign- ing chief executive officer not be directly elected as chairman or vice chairman of the same company.			The company does not comply	The company's CEO represents the fifth generation of the Harboe family and is also a member of the com- pany's Board of Directors, where he represents the Harboe family's inter- ests, values and wishes for long-term value creation for all of the com- pany's shareholders.
3.1.6. The Committee recommends that members of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be up for election every year at the annual general meeting.	The company complies			
<i>3.2. Independence of the board of directors</i>		1	1	

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
 3.2.1. The Committee recommends that at least half of the members of the board of directors elected by the general meeting be independent persons, in order for the board of directors to be able to act independently of special interests. To be considered independent, this person may not: be or within the past five years have been a member of the executive board, or senior staff member in the company, a subsidiary or an associated company, within the past five years, have received significant remuneration from the company/group, a subsidiary or an associated company in a different capacity than as member of the board of directors, represent or be associated with a controlling shareholder, within the past year, have had significant business relations (e.g. personally or indirectly as partner or employee, shareholder, customer, supplier or member of management in companies with corresponding connection) with the company, a subsidiary or an associated company, be or within the past three years have been employed or been a partner in the same company as the auditor elected by the general meeting, be part of the executive management in a company with crossmanagement representation in the company, have been a member of the board of directors for more than 12 years, or 		The company complies partially		Harboe has been owned and man- aged by the Harboe family through six generations. It is important to the family and the Board of Directors to ensure that the family's values are maintained and continued in the next generation – combined with effective business management in order to create value for all shareholders. Therefore, the Board of Directors elected by the general meeting con- sists of three members of the family and three independent, external members. The Chairman of the Board of Directors is an external member, but he will not be considered inde- pendent until 2020 as he signed the Harboe group's annual report as au- ditor until the financial year 2014/2015. From 2020, Harboe will thus be in compliance with the rec- ommendation.

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
Even if a member of the board of directors is not covered by the above criteria, certain conditions may exist that will lead the board of directors to decide that one or more members cannot be regarded as independent.				
3.3. Members of the board of directors and the number of othe	er managen	nent functio	ons	
3.3.1. The Committee recommends that each member of the board of di- rectors assess the expected time commitment for each function so that the member does not take on more functions than he/she can complete at a satisfactory level for the company.	The company complies			
 3.3.2. The Committee recommends that the management commentary, in addition to the provisions laid down by legislation, includes the following information about the members of the board of directors: the position of the relevant person, the age and gender of the person in question, the person's competencies and qualifications that are relevant to the company, whether the member is considered independent, the member's date of appointment to the board of directors, expiry of the current election term, the member's participation in meetings of the board of directors and committee meetings, other management functions, including memberships in executive boards, boards of directors, and supervisory boards, including 	The company complies			
5 /				

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
 the number of shares, options, warrants and similar owned by the member in the company and other group companies, as well as changes to the member's portfolio of the mentioned securities which have occurred during the financial year. 				
3.3.3. The Committee recommends that the annual evaluation proce- dure, cf. section 3.5, include an evaluation of what is regarded as a rea- sonable level for the number of other management functions, where the number, level and complexity of the other individual management func- tions are taken into account.		The company complies partially		See explanation under 3.5 for the evaluation of the Board of Directors' work. The Chairman of the Board of Directors regularly assesses the con- tribution and ability of each member to effectively perform their Board re- sponsibilities. None of the members of the Board of Directors holds a large number of other management functions.
<i>3.4. Board committees</i>				
3.4.1. The Committee recommends that the company publish the follow- ing on the company's website:	The company complies			
 the terms of reference of the board committees, the most important activities of the committees during the year and the number of meetings held by each committee, and the names of the members of each committee, including the chair- men of the committees, as well as information regarding which members are independent members and which members have spe- cial competencies. 				

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
3.4.2. The Committee recommends that a majority of the members of a board committee be independent.		The company complies partially		See explanation under 3.2.1.
3.4.3. The Committee recommends that the members of the board of di- rectors set up an <u>audit committee</u> among its members, and that a chair- man is appointed who is not the chairman of the board of directors.		The company complies partially		Harboe has set up an audit commit- tee, but has found it natural for the company's Chairman of the Board of Directors to also chair the audit com- mittee. The Chairman has many years of experience in audit and advisory services, and as a partner with Deloitte he has acquired a deep in- sight into accounting and financial matters. Moreover, the work on the audit committee takes place in close col- laboration between the members of the committee and the company's external auditors and thus draws on a broad mix of financial and accounting competencies.
3.4.4. The Committee recommends that, prior to the approval of the an- nual report and other financial reports, the audit committee monitor and report to the board of directors about:	The company complies			
 significant accounting policies, significant accounting estimates, related party transactions, and uncertainties and risks, including in relation to the outlook for the current year. 				

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
 3.4.5. The Committee recommends that the audit committee: annually assess the need for an internal audit function and, in such case, present mandates and recommendations on selecting, appointing and removing the head of any internal audit function and on the budget of the internal audit function, ensure that if an internal audit function has been established, a description of its functions is available and approved by the board of directors, ensure that if an internal audit function has been established, adequate resources and competencies are allocated to carry out the work, and monitor the executive board's follow-up on the conclusions and recommendations of the internal audit function. 	The company complies			
 3.4.6. The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish a nomination committee, which is at least responsible for the following preparatory tasks: describing the qualifications required by the board of directors and the executive board and for a given position, indicating the time expected to be spent carrying out a specific position, as well as assessing the competencies, knowledge and experience found in the two governing bodies, 		The company complies partially		The Board of Directors has not set up a nomination committee, but as- sesses the need for this at regular in- tervals. The company's management competencies, resources and the need for these in the short and longer term are regularly discussed by the Chairman of the Board of Di- rectors and the family's representa- tives on the Board, who submit pro- posals for changes and proposals for

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
 annually assessing the structure, size, composition and results of the board of directors and the executive board and recommending any changes to the board of directors, annually assessing the competencies, knowledge, experience and succession of the individual members of management and reporting to the board of directors in this respect, recommending candidates for the board of directors and the executive board, and proposing an action plan to the board of directors on the future composition of the board of directors, including proposals for specific changes. 				new candidates for the approval of the entire Board of Directors.
 3.4.7. The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish a remuneration committee, which is at least responsible for the following preparatory tasks: recommending the remuneration policy (including the "General Guidelines for Incentive-based Remuneration") to the board of directors and the executive board for approval by the board of directors prior to approval by the general meeting, making proposals to the board of directors on remuneration for members of the board of directors and the executive board, as well as ensuring that the remuneration is in compliance with the company's remuneration policy and the assessment of the performance of the persons concerned. The committee should have information on the total remuneration that members of the board of directors and the executive board and the executive board receive from other companies in the group, recommending a remuneration policy applicable for the company in general and 			The company does not comply	The Board of Directors has not set up a remuneration committee, but as- sesses the need for this at regular in- tervals. Important contracts are ap- proved by the Chairman of the Board of Directors.

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
assisting with the preparation of the annual remuneration report.				
3.4.8. The Committee recommends that the remuneration commit- tee do not consult with the same external advisers as the executive board of the company.				Not relevant; see comment above.
3.5. Evaluation of the performance of the board of directors an	d the exect	utive board	1	
 3.5.1. The Committee recommends that the board of directors establish an evaluation procedure for an annual evaluation of the board of directors and the individual members. External assistance should be obtained at least every third year. The evaluation should include: contribution and results, cooperation with the executive board, the chairman's leadership of the board of directors, the composition of the board of directors (including competencies, diversity and the number of members), the work in the committees and the committee structure, and the organisation and quality of the material that is submitted to the board of directors. The evaluation procedure and the general conclusions should be described in the management commentary and on the company's website. The chairman should account for the evaluation of the board of directors, including the process and general conclusions at the general meeting prior to the election of the board of directors. 			The company does not comply	In the opinion of the Board of Direc- tors, there is no need for a formal evaluation procedure for the Board of Directors and the Board of Execu- tives. The Chairman of the Board of Direc- tors ensures that meetings are char- acterised by constructive dialogue, that individual members contribute in line with their competencies and that committees, work structure and materials are appropriate. Further- more, the Chairman of the Board of Directors and the representatives of the Harboe family on the Board an- nually evaluate the Board of Direc- tors' work and the individual mem- bers' contribution to this work.

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
3.5.2. The Committee recommends that at least annually, the board of directors evaluate the work and performance of the executive board in accordance with pre-defined criteria. Furthermore, the board of directors should evaluate the need for changes to the structure and composition of the executive board, in light of the company's strategy.	The company complies			
3.5.3. The Committee recommends that the executive board and the board of directors establish a procedure according to which their coopera- tion is evaluated annually through a formalised dialogue between the chairman of the board of directors and the chief executive officer, and that the outcome of the evaluation be presented to the board of directors.			The company does not comply	In the opinion of the Board of Direc- tors, there is no need for a formal procedure for the evaluation of the cooperation between the Chairman of the Board of Directors and the CEO, who also represents the Harboe family and is a member of the Board of Directors. The Chairman of the Board of Directors and the CEO have an ongoing dialogue, the results of which form part of the reporting at the board meetings.
1. Demonstrian of management				
4. Remuneration of management				
4.1. Form and content of the remuneration policy				
 4.1.1. The Committee recommends that the board of directors prepare a remuneration policy for the board of directors and the executive board, which includes • a detailed description of the components of the remuneration for members of the board of directors and the executive board, 		The company complies partially		Harboe has not prepared a remuner- ation policy, but the components of remuneration for members of the Board of Directors and the Board of

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
 the reasons for choosing the individual components of the remuneration, a description of the criteria that form the basis for the balance between the individual components of the remuneration, and an explanation for the correlation between the remuneration policy and the company's long-term value creation and relevant related goals. The remuneration policy should be approved by the general meeting at least every fourth year and upon any material amendments and it should be published on the company's website. 				Executives are described in detail in the annual report. Following the im- plementation of the Shareholder Rights Directive (<i>Aktionærret-</i> <i>tighedsdirektivet</i>) into Danish law in 2019, Harboe will prepare and pub- lish a remuneration policy in compli- ance with the directive in 2020 and prepare a remuneration report in 2021. Harboe's Board of Directors generally emphasises that the company should offer competitive terms of employ- ment to the members of the Board of Executives and the rest of the man- agement and regularly assesses ele- ments which can help motivate and retain skilled and performance-ori- ented managers. The Board of Direc- tors has decided not to introduce share-related incentive schemes for the time being. The group's key man- agers are covered by a performance- related bonus programme.

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
				The remuneration of the members of the Board of Executives and the Board of Directors is described in de- tail in the Chairman's report, and the remuneration of the members of the Board of Directors will be approved at the annual general meeting.
 4.1.2. The Committee recommends that if the remuneration policy includes variable components, limits be set on the variable components of the total remuneration package, a reasonable and balanced composition be ensured between remuneration for members of management and the value creation for shareholders in the short and long term, clarity be established about performance criteria and measurability for the award of variable components, it be ensured that variable remuneration not only consists of short-term remuneration components, and that long-term remuneration components must have a vesting or maturity period of at least three years, and it be ensured that the company has the ability to reclaim, in full or in part, variable components of remuneration that were paid on the basis of information which subsequently is found to be incorrect. 	The company complies			
4.1.3. The Committee recommends that remuneration of mem- bers of the board of directors does not include share options or warrants.	The company complies			

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
4.1.4. The Committee recommends that if, in relation to long-term incentive programmes, share-based remuneration is used, the pro- grammes should have a vesting or maturity period of at least three years after being allocated and should be roll-over programmes, i.e. the options should be granted periodically.				Not relevant, see 4.1.3.
4.1.5. The Committee recommends that the total value of the re- muneration relating to the notice period, including severance pay, do not exceed two years of remuneration, including all components of the remuneration.	The company complies			
4.2. Disclosure of remuneration				
4.2.1. The Committee recommends that the company's remuneration policy and compliance with this policy be explained and justified annually in the chairman's statement at the company's general meeting.		The company complies partially		See explanation under 4.1.1.
4.2.2. The Committee recommends that shareholders at the general meeting consider proposals for approval of remuneration for the board of directors for the current financial year.	The company complies			
4.2.3. The Committee recommends that the company prepare a remuneration report that includes information on the total remuneration received by each member of the board of directors and the executive board from the company and other companies in the group and associated companies for the last three years, including information on the most important content of retention and resignation arrangements, and that the correlation between the remuneration and the company strategy and relevant related goals be explained.		The company complies partially		See explanation under 4.1.1.

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
The remuneration report should be published on the company's web- site.				
5. Financial reporting, risk management and audits		1	L	
5.1. Identification of risks and transparency about other releva	nt informat	tion		
5.1.1. The Committee recommends that the board of directors consider and in the management commentary account for the most important stra- tegic and business-related risks, risks in connection with the financial re- porting as well as for the company's risk management.	The company complies			
5.2. Whistleblower scheme		1	I	
5.2.1. The Committee recommends that the board of directors es- tablish a whistleblower scheme for expedient and confidential notifi- cation of serious wrongdoing or suspicions thereof.			The company does not comply	No whistleblower scheme has been established at present, but the Board of Directors assesses the need for this at regular intervals.
<i>5.3. Contact to auditor</i>		·		
5.3.1. The Committee recommends that the board of directors ensure regular dialogue and exchange of information between the auditor elected by the general meeting and the board of directors, including that the board of directors and the audit committee meet with the auditor elected		The company complies partially		Harboe's Board of Directors and audit committee have an ongoing dialogue with the company's external audi- tors, and the relations have been structured in accordance with the recommendations. However, the

Recommendation	The com- pany complies	The com- pany complies partially	The com- pany does not comply	Explanation for complying partially/not complying with the recommendation:
by the general meeting at least once annually without the executive board present. This also applies to the internal auditor, if any.				company's CEO is also a member of the Board of Directors and therefore participates in all meetings with the auditors.
5.3.2. The Committee recommends that the audit agreement and auditor's fee be agreed by the board of directors and the auditor elected by the general meeting based on a recommendation from the audit committee.	The company complies			